

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UMITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,377	09/26/2005	Hideo Toyoda	000023-064	6517
	7590 10/16/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	KARPINSKI, LUKE E		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4173	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

	•	•			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,377	TOYODA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Luke E. Karpinski	4173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	` ' '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· · · · · ·	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure		roggived			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	scorule cerulled copies not .	icceiveu.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		dummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/531,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 4173

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to an olefin wax.

Group II, claim(s) 4-35, drawn to a silicone modified olefin wax and a cosmetic composition containing said wax.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature is seen as the silicone modified olefin wax. The olefin wax compounds, as described in claims 1-3 have a different backbone structure than the silicone modified olefin wax compounds as described in claims 4-35. Due to the facts that there is a different backbone structure between the different groups of wax structures and the silicone functional group is an essential component, there is no single general inventive concept.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,377

Art Unit: 4173

Elections

Page 3

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. If Applicant elects Group II from the restriction requirement from above then Applicant is further required to elect a specific embodiment for each of the Species requirements listed below.

The species are a specific embodiment from each of the following:

Species A: The presence or absence of an oil. If an oil is elected present then Applicant must further elect a specific embodiment of an oil, as described in the specification on page 50, line 10 to page 54, line 24 (i.e. avocado oil) or a specific combination thereof.

Species B: The presence or absence of water.

Species C: The presence or absence of a compound containing an alcoholic hydroxyl group in the structure. If a compound containing an alcoholic hydroxyl group in the structure is elected present then Applicant must further elect a specific embodiment of the compound, as described in the specification on page 56 lines 2-15 (i.e. ethanol) or a specific combination thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,377

Art Unit: 4173

Species D: The presence or absence of a water soluble or water swelling substance. If a water soluble or water swelling substance is elected present then Applicant must further elect a specific embodiment of a water soluble or water swelling substance, as described in the specification on page 56, line 19 to page 58, line 10 (i.e. gum Arabic) or a specific combination thereof.

Page 4

Species E: The presence or absence of a surface active agent. If a surface active agent is elected present then Applicant is further required to elect a specific embodiment of the surface active agent, as described on page 63, line 2 to page 65, line 13 (i.e. sodium stearate) or a specific combination thereof.

- 4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a specific embodiment for each of Species A-E above if Group II is elected, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. An election to a sub-genus group, when a specific embodiment was required is also deemed non-responsive.
- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 4173

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 5 and 7-10 are generic to Species A.

Claim 11 is generic to Species B.

Claims 12 and 13 are generic to Species C.

Claim 14 is generic to Species D.

Claims 18-20 are generic to Species E.

7. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

In regards to all of the above species. Within each of species A and C-E the compounds claimed are all different in structure and reactivity. In regards to species B, the absence or presence of water could change the scope of the invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 4173

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Reminder

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Inquiries

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke E. Karpinski whose telephone number is 571-270-3501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday 9-4 est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Ardin H. Marschel or Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0718 or

Application/Control Number: 10/531,377

Art Unit: 4173

571-272-0562 respectively. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LEK

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

March 10/10/07

Page 7